



FOREIGN AID AND FINANCIAL SECTOR DEVELOPMENT IN AID-DEPENDENT ECONOMIES: THE ROLE OF IMF–WORLD BANK COORDINATION

Ameen A. Abdulkadir (Ph.D.)¹ & Abdulquadir, Arisekola Abdulazez²

¹ Department of Political Science and International Relations, University of Abuja, Abuja. Email: ameen.abdulkadir@uniabuja.edu.ng

² Department of Political Science and International Relations, University of Abuja, Abuja. Email: abdulazez.abdulquadir@uniabuja.edu.ng

*Corresponding Author Email: abdulazez.abdulquadir@uniabuja.edu.ng
<https://doi.org/10.70118/ajbfd.010120260009>

KEYWORDS

Foreign Aid; Financial
Sector Development; Aid
Dependence; IMF–World
Bank Coordination;
Financial Deepening

ABSTRACT

This paper examines whether concessional foreign aid in low-income and fragile economies primarily finances long-term development expenditures or is redirected toward short-term macroeconomic stabilization, and how that allocation affects financial-sector development. Using a mixed-methods approach qualitative analysis of institutional reports and case studies combined with quantitative analysis of cross-country panel data and guided by political-economy, financial-sector, and principal-agent frameworks the study assesses aid's effects on revenue mobilization, sovereign bond issuance, and capital-market formation. The analysis shows that foreign aid is associated with substitution effects, lower revenue mobilization, and reduced sovereign bond issuance, which together can delay the development of domestic capital markets; aid surges can also induce real exchange-rate appreciation and greater volatility, complicating monetary policy in economies with underdeveloped (shallow) financial markets. The findings imply that policymakers and donors should prioritize alignment of concessional finance with domestic financial development strategies, invest in institutional capacity for tax mobilization, and design aid modalities that incentivize sovereign bond market development and prudent macroeconomic management to foster resilient, inclusive financial systems. The empirical strategy combines panel regressions with instrumental variables to address endogeneity concerns and complements quantitative results with illustrative country case studies that trace institutional pathways; robustness checks include alternative aid measures, sub-sample analyses by fragility status, and tests for heterogeneous effects across different stages of financial development, ensuring that the conclusions are not driven by outliers or measurement choices. Policy recommendations emphasize phased reforms, capacity building, and donor coordination to sustain long-term market development effectively.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 20/11/2025
Received in Revised form:
09/03/2026
Accepted: 28/03/2026
Available online: 22/4/2026

3141-1908/ © 2006. Published by Department of Banking and Finance, University of Abuja, Nigeria.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA 4.0 license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>)

1.0 Introduction

Foreign aid remains central to how low-income and fragile countries structure their public finance systems. In many of these countries, foreign aid is a significant component of their public expenditure and, in some cases, a substantial component of their national income. In Sub-Saharan countries and small island developing states, official development support is a fiscal anchor that provides stability to their economies in the face of climatic shocks, commodity price volatility, and strains in their national debts. The World Bank (2024) observes that foreign aid is being channeled to vulnerable countries that are facing climatic shocks, post-pandemic imbalances, and rising geopolitical tensions. Over the past decade, global finance has evolved through initiatives such as climate finance instruments, debt-relief and restructuring mechanisms, multilateral responses to global inflationary pressures, and new financing arrangements addressing geopolitical fragmentation. These have led to a situation where some countries have become more dependent on foreign aid as a vital component in their finance systems (IMF, 2023; UNDP, 2025).

Aid-dependent economies typically rely on concessional loans, budget support, grants, and technical assistance from multilateral organizations and bilateral donors to finance development programs and recurrent expenditures. Among these organizations, the IMF and World Bank play the major role in developing the macroeconomic stabilization programs of the aid-dependent economies. The IMF primarily provides balance-of-payments support, conducts fiscal surveillance, and advises on macroeconomic adjustment measures to restore external viability (IMF, 2023). On the other hand, the World Bank mainly deals with institutional development, governance

reforms, infrastructure financing, and financial sector reforms (World Bank, 2024).

Although many developing countries have received aid for decades, evidence of sustained financial deepening measured by indicators such as private credit-to-GDP, domestic bond-market size, and financial inclusion remains limited in numerous aid-dependent economies. The private credit to GDP ratio, size of domestic bond markets, financial inclusion rates, and competitiveness of the financial system in aid-dependent nations are extremely low (World Bank, 2024). In many developing nations, the private credit to GDP ratio is extremely low compared to the global private credit to GDP ratio. Domestic bond markets in many developing nations do not exist. Several structural problems in the financial system of developing nations hinder financial intermediation in these nations.

Studies suggest that recent trends in continuous inflows of external funds may undermine the urgency to mobilize domestic savings and strengthen the domestic financial system (IMF, 2023; World Bank, 2024; UNDP, 2025). Heavy reliance on external financing can weaken incentives for domestic fiscal and financial development. Empirical studies show that higher aid inflows are often associated with reduced tax effort and slower institutional development (Gupta et al., 2004; Clements et al., 2014; Knack, 2001). This dependence may also limit the development of domestic debt markets, as governments rely less on local borrowing, thereby constraining broader financial sector deepening (Beck et al., 2009). The inflow of funds may also create distortions in the macroeconomic environment of the receiving countries, including appreciation of the exchange rate and the resulting crowding out of the

domestic borrowing market, as well as the negative impacts of aid inflows on the export sector. The substitution of domestic borrowing with external concessional financing may also undermine the development of the local yield curve for government debt, thereby delaying the emergence of corporate bond markets and broader financial system deepening. Empirical and theoretical studies support this mechanism. For example, Stijn Claessens et al. (2007) and Thorsten Beck et al. (2009) emphasize the critical role of government bond markets in establishing benchmark yield curves that facilitate private sector debt issuance. When governments rely less on domestic borrowing, these benchmark markets remain underdeveloped, constraining corporate bond market growth. Similarly, Markus Kose et al. (2010) argue that limited domestic financial market development is closely linked to reduced government participation in local capital markets.

This phenomenon highlights the structural linkages between foreign aid and financial sector development. While foreign aid is intended to promote growth, strengthen macroeconomic stability, and support structural transformation, its dominance in public sector financing in many developing countries may create persistent dependence. Empirical evidence supports this concern. For instance, Stephen Knack (2001) finds that high levels of aid dependence are associated with weaker institutional quality, while Jakob Svensson (2000) shows that aid dependence can weaken accountability and governance structures. These dynamics may, in turn, slow the development of domestic financial systems.

It therefore becomes important to examine whether foreign aid undermines financial sector development in developing countries, through which transmission channels this

occurs, and the implications of IMF and World Bank policies for these outcomes.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

The economies of most aid-recipient countries are characterized by shallow and underdeveloped financial systems. Banking sectors in these economies typically have low asset bases relative to GDP, limited branch networks, and high concentration ratios. High concentration does not automatically imply poor risk management; however, in weak regulatory environments, it often reduces competition and may increase systemic risk by concentrating exposures within a few dominant institutions (Thorsten Beck et al., 2006; Asli Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2004).

Capital markets are similarly underdeveloped, with weak infrastructure, low trading activity, and limited or non-existent corporate bond markets. Regulatory and supervisory institutions are often inadequate, resulting in ineffective oversight. Weak supervision undermines financial intermediation by increasing the likelihood of misallocation of credit and financial instability (Ross Levine, 2005).

In addition, informal economic activity is widespread, savings rates are low, and trust in formal financial institutions remains limited. Empirical studies show that high informality and low institutional trust significantly constrain financial inclusion and the mobilization of domestic savings (Leora Klapper et al., 2016; Thorsten Beck et al., 2007). These structural weaknesses contribute to persistently low credit-to-GDP ratios in low-income and fragile economies, which remain well below global averages (World Bank, 2024).

Financial sector development in these economies remains sluggish despite

sustained aid inflows, reflecting several interlinked structural mechanisms.

First, the fiscal substitution effect arises when governments rely on external grants and concessional financing instead of strengthening domestic revenue systems. Rather than expanding the tax base, improving compliance, and deepening domestic debt markets, policymakers may depend on external disbursements to finance recurrent and capital expenditures. Evidence from recent IMF fiscal assessments indicates that countries with high aid-to-GDP ratios tend to experience slower progress in tax system modernization and domestic revenue mobilization (International Monetary Fund, 2023).

Second, reduced participation in domestic financial markets becomes evident when governments limit sovereign bond issuance due to reliance on concessional external financing. Government securities play a critical role in establishing benchmark yield curves for pricing financial assets. Their absence constrains the development of corporate bond markets and broader capital market activity (Stijn Claessens et al., 2007). By relying on external resources, governments reduce opportunities for institutional investors such as pension funds, insurance companies, and commercial banks to engage in long-term asset allocation, thereby weakening market liquidity and limiting financial product diversification (World Bank, 2024).

Third, macroeconomic instability may be exacerbated by large and volatile aid inflows. Sudden increases in foreign aid can lead to real exchange rate appreciation, reducing export competitiveness and distorting relative prices. At the same time, liquidity surges complicate monetary management, particularly in shallow financial systems. The IMF (2023) notes

that poorly sequenced or unpredictable aid disbursements can hinder effective monetary policy implementation and financial sector stability.

Finally, heavy reliance on external financing can weaken domestic accountability. When foreign aid constitutes a significant share of public revenue, governments may face weaker incentives to enhance fiscal transparency, strengthen regulatory frameworks, or mobilize domestic financing. This dynamic can erode the social contract between the state and its citizens, reducing demand for institutional and financial sector reforms (Stephen Knack, 2001; UNDP, 2025).

Thus, the central question is whether sustained foreign aid inflows contribute to structural underdevelopment in the financial sector through fiscal, macroeconomic, and institutional channels. Equally important is the role of coordination between the IMF and the World Bank. Through conditionality, policy sequencing, and reform benchmarks, these institutions significantly influence whether aid fosters dependency or supports sustainable financial deepening. The interaction between aid dependence and multilateral policy frameworks is therefore critical in shaping long-term financial development outcomes in low-income and fragile economies.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The broad objective of this study is to examine the relationship between foreign aid and financial sector development in developing and aid-dependent economies. In particular, the study seeks to determine whether sustained reliance on external concessional financing constrains the development of domestic financial systems and to identify the key transmission

mechanisms through which such effects may occur. The study analyzes how foreign aid influences financial sector outcomes using indicators such as credit to the private sector, domestic debt market activity, and overall financial deepening.

More specifically, the study investigates the fiscal transmission channel by assessing whether foreign aid contributes to the substitution of domestic revenue mobilization efforts and weakens incentives for tax system development. It also evaluates the impact of aid dependence on domestic capital market development, with particular emphasis on sovereign bond issuance, the formation of benchmark yield curves, and the growth of corporate bond markets. In addition, the study examines the macroeconomic implications of aid inflows, including their effects on exchange rate dynamics, monetary policy effectiveness, and financial system stability in shallow financial environments.

Furthermore, the study explores the institutional and governance consequences of aid dependence, especially in relation to accountability, regulatory quality, and the development of financial sector institutions. It also considers the role of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank in shaping financial sector outcomes through policy conditionality, reform sequencing, and coordination frameworks. Ultimately, the study aims to provide policy-relevant insights on how foreign aid can be structured and managed to support sustainable financial development rather than hinder it in low-income and fragile economies.

2.0 Literature Review

Recent research offers increasing evidence that consistent foreign aid inflows might have intricate and sometimes negative

impacts on financial sector growth in economies that rely on aid. While aid aims to ease fiscal pressures and boost development, current studies suggest that long-lasting dependence can weaken domestic revenue collection, limit financial activity, and cause macroeconomic imbalances.

2.1 Theoretical Framework

Beyond factual observations, the link between foreign aid and financial sector growth can be understood using several connected theoretical frameworks that describe the involved incentive structures and macroeconomic transmission mechanisms.

Fiscal Substitution and Rent Theory

From a political economic view, foreign aid acts as an external rent. Rent theory says that when governments get significant non-tax revenues, accountability tied to domestic taxation weakens. In states reliant on aid, governments might prioritize meeting donor conditions over addressing domestic taxpayers' needs. This situation lowers incentives to strengthen tax systems, improve fiscal transparency, or deepen domestic financial markets. Over time, the weakening of the tax-accountability link hurts the institutional bases needed for financial growth.

Financial Deepening and Market Formation Theory

Financial deepening theory highlights the importance of domestic savings, varied financial instruments, and competitive intermediation for lasting economic growth. A well-developed financial system needs sovereign debt benchmarks, active institutional investors, and effective regulatory oversight. When external concessional financing replaces domestic

borrowing, the natural development of a domestic bond market may stop. Without benchmark yield curves, corporate debt markets are underdeveloped, limiting long-term investment opportunities.

Macroeconomic Mechanisms

Aid inflows enter the economy mainly through foreign currency channels. Large inflows increase foreign exchange reserves and domestic liquidity. If not effectively managed, these inflows can raise real exchange rates and skew price signals. These distortions affect the tradable sector, private investment behavior, and credit demand. In shallow financial systems, limited monetary policy tools worsen these macroeconomic transmission effects, potentially weakening financial sector stability.

Institutional Coordination and Principal-Agent Dynamics

The link between donors and recipient governments can be viewed through principal-agent theory. Donor institutions (principals) set reform conditions, while recipient governments (agents) implement policies. However, information gaps and differing incentives may weaken reform enforcement. In the case of IMF-World Bank coordination, differences in institutional mandates and timelines can fragment policy environments. Without consistent sequencing between stability and structural reform, financial development aims may be delayed or weakened.

Conditionality and Reform Sequencing

Ideally, effective aid should add to domestic institutional strengthening rather than replace it. Financial sector reforms need stable macroeconomic environments, reliable regulatory institutions, and long-

term capacity building. If stabilization measures cut fiscal space without parallel institutional investment, financial deepening may slow. Conversely, structural reforms introduced without macroeconomic stability may fail to gain traction. So, consistent sequencing and coordinated diplomacy are key to aligning aid inflows with financial development goals.

Integrated Conceptual Insight

The conceptual discussion suggests that the inverse link between foreign aid inflows and financial sector growth is not automatic but depends on incentive structures, macroeconomic management ability, and institutional coordination. Aid can either boost financial deepening or reinforce dependency, based on how it interacts with domestic fiscal policy, exchange rate management, and reform sequencing frameworks.

Where aid strengthens tax systems, supports domestic debt market formation, and enhances regulatory capacity, it can add to financial development. However, where aid replaces domestic revenue, suppresses bond market growth, or causes macroeconomic distortions, it may contribute to lasting financial underdevelopment. Understanding this duality is essential for designing aid structures and IMF-World Bank diplomatic strategies that promote sustainable financial sector transformation into aid-dependent economies.

Theoretical Framework

Understanding the inverse relationship between foreign aid inflows and financial sector development requires a multidimensional theoretical foundation. This study draws on four complementary frameworks: the political economy of external rents, financial deepening theory, institutional incentive theory, and the

principal agent framework. Together, these perspectives explain how sustained aid inflows reshape fiscal behavior, institutional incentives, and macro-financial structures in aid-dependent economies.

1. Political Economy of External Rents

Foreign aid can be conceptualized as an external rent an income stream that accrues to governments independently of domestic productive activity or taxation. In rent theory, revenue sources not derived from domestic taxation may weaken accountability mechanisms and distort governance incentives. When states rely heavily on non-tax revenues, including natural resource rents or foreign aid, the fiscal contract between governments and citizens may weaken (World Bank, 2024).

The political economy of external rents suggests that governments receiving substantial aid inflows may prioritize maintaining donor relationships over strengthening domestic fiscal systems. Since taxation requires political negotiation and institutional reform, reliance on aid can reduce the urgency to expand tax bases or formalize informal economic sectors. According to the International Monetary Fund (2023), countries with persistent concessional inflows often demonstrate slower progress in domestic revenue mobilization strategies. This dynamic reflects a substitution effect, where external rents replace the need for politically costly fiscal reforms.

Furthermore, the rent-based framework helps explain why financial sector development may stagnate in aid-dependent states. When governments do not depend on domestic borrowing, they have limited incentives to develop sovereign bond markets, deepen banking systems, or

cultivate institutional investors. Over time, this reliance on external rents may produce governance structures that are outward-looking toward donors rather than inward-looking toward domestic capital formation.

2. Financial Deepening Theory

Financial deepening theory emphasizes the expansion, diversification, and efficiency of financial systems as drivers of economic growth. A deep financial system is characterized by increased access to financial services, higher private sector credit-to-GDP ratios, diversified financial instruments (such as bonds, equities, and derivatives), and strong regulatory institutions (World Bank, 2024).

The theory posits that financial development enhances capital allocation efficiency, reduces transaction costs, mobilizes savings, and facilitates risk management. However, when governments finance public expenditures through external concessional resources rather than domestic borrowing, the natural process of market-based financial deepening may be interrupted. Sovereign bond markets, for example, serve as benchmarks for pricing private debt instruments. Without regular domestic issuance of government securities, yield curve formation is limited, constraining corporate bond development and long-term investment financing.

The African Development Bank (2025) notes that shallow sovereign debt markets in several aid-dependent African economies have restricted private sector access to diversified financing channels. This limits entrepreneurship, infrastructure financing, and private capital accumulation. Financial deepening theory therefore predicts that when external aid substitutes for domestic financial intermediation, long-term

institutional and market development may weaken.

3. Institutional Incentive Theory

Institutional incentive theory examines how policy incentives shape reform implementation. External conditionalities attached to aid programs aim to promote macroeconomic stabilization and structural reforms. However, when enforcement mechanisms are weak or domestic political will is limited, reforms may remain superficial.

According to the World Bank (2024), reform ownership is a critical determinant of success in financial sector modernization. Where governments implement reforms primarily to satisfy donor benchmarks rather than domestic development priorities, institutional strengthening may lack sustainability. Superficial compliances such as passing regulatory laws without effective enforcement can create an illusion of reform without substantive financial deepening.

Institutional incentive theory also explains why aid inflows may reduce reform urgency. When fiscal pressures are alleviated through concessional support, policymakers may delay politically costly measures such as tax reforms, banking sector restructuring, or capital market liberalization. Over time, weak incentives for institutional strengthening may entrench structural financial fragility.

Together, these theoretical frameworks suggest that sustained foreign aid inflows may influence fiscal incentives, institutional behavior, and financial market development in ways that either support or undermine financial deepening. The outcome depends largely on governance quality, reform sequencing, and diplomatic coordination.

2.2 Conceptual Review

Aid and Domestic Revenue Mobilization

Domestic revenue collection is widely seen as crucial for sustainable development and financial deepening. Robust tax systems improve fiscal independence, strengthen government accountability, and lay the groundwork for domestic capital market expansion. However, recent data from the World Bank (2024) indicates that countries with aid-to-GDP ratios exceeding 10 percent tend to show slower progress in tax-to-GDP ratios compared to peers less dependent on aid. The report points out that predictable grant inflows may lessen political motivation to broaden tax bases, modernize tax administration, or formalize large informal sectors.

Similarly, fiscal assessments from the International Monetary Fund (2023) suggest that ongoing concessional inflows relate to slower implementation of public financial management reforms. Countries who are heavily reliant on external funding often postpone medium-term revenue strategies, digital tax changes regarding tax, and improvements in expenditure transparency. The IMF's 2023 Fiscal Monitor emphasizes that when governments obtain substantial non-tax revenues through aid, the need to build domestic fiscal capacity may diminish. This substitution effect weakens the structural basis needed for long-term financial development.

Aid and Financial Intermediation

Financial intermediation, which involves mobilizing savings and allocating capital to productive investment, is vital for economic transformation. However, recent empirical evidence suggests that high aid inflows may displace domestic financial market development. According to the African Development Bank (2025), there is a negative link between high aid inflows and

private sector credit growth in several low-income African economies. The report indicates that governments relying on concessional loans and grants often decrease domestic bond issuance, which limits the development of sovereign yield curves and domestic debt markets.

Sovereign bonds act as benchmarks for pricing corporate bonds and long-term financing tools. Without an active domestic sovereign debt market, private sector entities face limited access to diverse financial instruments. Moreover, banks in aid-dependent situations may allocate liquidity toward low-risk government-related transactions rather than growing private lending portfolios. This dynamic restricts financial deepening and reduces incentives for financial innovation.

The World Bank (2024) further notes that sustainable financial systems need diverse funding sources and active participation from institutional investors. However, in aid-dependent economies, concessional external funding may replace domestic capital mobilization, slowing the growth of robust financial ecosystems.

Exchange Rate and Dutch Disease Effects

Another key aspect of recent research emphasizes the macroeconomic effects of large aid inflows. The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP, 2025) highlights that significant and consistent aid disbursements can cause real exchange rates to be appreciated, particularly in small and open economies. This effect resembles Dutch disease dynamics, where foreign currency inflows increase demand for non-tradable goods and services, raising domestic prices and reducing export competitiveness.

Exchange rate appreciation can weaken sectors that produce tradable goods, which

are often key drivers of private investment demand and financial service use. Reduced competitiveness limits export revenues and savings accumulation, thereby restricting capital formation. Furthermore, volatile aid disbursements can create liquidity shocks that complicate monetary policy. The IMF (2023) notes that in shallow financial systems, central banks often have limited tools to control these effects, increasing the risk of inflationary pressures and instability in interest rates.

IMF-World Bank Reform Coordination

Institutional coordination between the IMF and the World Bank is important in determining financial development results in economies reliant on aid. The IMF's 2023 Review of Program Design prioritizes macroeconomic stabilization, debt sustainability, and inflation control. These goals are critical in crisis situations but may focus mainly on short-term adjustments.

In contrast, the World Bank's 2024 Global Financial Development Report emphasizes financial inclusion, capital market deepening, regulatory strengthening, and institutional reform. While both institutions share development aims, inconsistencies in reform sequencing sometimes occur. Stabilization programs may come before structural financial reforms, leading to fragmented implementation.

Recent policy discussions have encouraged stronger collaboration, including harmonized analyses of debt sustainability and shared benchmarks for reform. However, practical coordination remains uneven, particularly in fragile states where limited institutional capacity complicates reform execution.

Taken together, the research suggests that consistent aid inflows can affect fiscal behavior, the structures of financial activity,

macroeconomic stability, and institutional reform processes in ways that may hinder financial deepening if not carefully managed.

2.3 Empirical Review

Empirical literature on foreign aid and financial sector development has increasingly moved toward identifying the conditional and context-dependent nature of aid effectiveness. Rather than producing uniform outcomes, foreign aid generates varying impacts depending on institutional quality, macroeconomic stability, and financial system development in recipient economies.

Aid and Economic Growth: Conditional Evidence

A significant portion of empirical research has focused on the relationship between foreign aid and economic growth. While early studies produced mixed results, recent analyses emphasize nonlinear and conditional effects. Evidence suggests that foreign aid exhibits a U-shaped relationship with economic growth, where aid may initially have adverse effects but becomes growth-enhancing once institutional thresholds are achieved (Dash et al., 2024).

Similarly, empirical findings from Sub-Saharan Africa indicate that the impact of aid on growth remains statistically weak and highly dependent on domestic policy environments (Anetor et al., 2025). In fragile economies characterized by weak institutions and shallow financial systems, aid inflows often fail to translate into productive investments or sustained economic expansion (IMF, 2023).

These findings imply that foreign aid does not automatically generate the macroeconomic conditions required for financial sector development, such as

increased savings, investment, and credit expansion.

Aid and Domestic Revenue Mobilization

A robust empirical finding across multiple studies is the negative relationship between foreign aid and domestic revenue mobilization. Panel data analyses consistently show that higher aid inflows are associated with reduced tax effort, supporting the fiscal substitution hypothesis (Gupta et al., 2004; Clements et al., 2014).

More recent evidence confirms that countries with high aid dependence tend to exhibit slower progress in tax system modernization and lower tax-to-GDP ratios (World Bank, 2024). Similarly, IMF fiscal assessments indicate that sustained concessional inflows reduce incentives for governments to implement politically costly tax reforms (IMF, 2023).

From a financial development perspective, weak domestic revenue systems constrain the ability of governments to issue domestic debt, thereby limiting the development of sovereign bond markets. Since government securities serve as benchmarks for financial pricing, this dynamic indirectly slows the growth of broader capital markets.

Aid and Financial Sector Development

Empirical studies examining the direct relationship between foreign aid and financial sector development suggest that aid can have both complementary and substitutive effects. In economies with well-developed financial systems, aid may enhance financial deepening by supporting infrastructure and institutional reforms. However, in aid-dependent economies with shallow financial systems, aid often bypasses domestic financial intermediation channels (Beck et al., 2009).

Recent evidence indicates that financial development acts as a mediating variable in determining the effectiveness of aid. For instance, studies on African economies show that the impact of aid on poverty reduction and growth is significantly stronger in countries with more developed financial systems (Anetor et al., 2025).

At the same time, empirical research highlights a crowding-out effect, where reliance on concessional external financing reduces domestic borrowing and weakens sovereign bond market development (Claessens et al., 2007). This limits the formation of benchmark yield curves and constrains private sector access to long-term financing.

Aid, Capital Formation, and Investment

Another important empirical dimension concerns the relationship between foreign aid and capital formation. Evidence suggests that the impact of aid on investment is conditional on the strength of domestic financial systems.

A recent study finds that foreign aid promotes capital formation in countries with strong financial institutions but substitutes for domestic investment in countries with weak financial systems (Dash et al., 2024). This substitution effect reduces incentives for domestic savings mobilization and financial intermediation.

In aid-dependent economies, where savings rates are already low, this dynamic may further weaken the development of institutional investors such as pension funds and insurance companies, thereby constraining long-term capital market growth (World Bank, 2024).

Macroeconomic Effects: Exchange Rate and Volatility

Empirical evidence also points to significant macroeconomic consequences of foreign aid inflows, particularly in relation to exchange rate dynamics and monetary stability. Large inflows of foreign aid have been associated with real exchange rate appreciation, which can reduce export competitiveness and distort resource allocation (UNDP, 2025).

In addition, aid flows are often volatile and unpredictable, creating challenges for macroeconomic management. IMF analysis indicates that fluctuations in aid disbursement can complicate liquidity management and increase inflationary pressures, especially in shallow financial systems (IMF, 2023).

These macroeconomic distortions negatively affect financial sector development by increasing uncertainty, discouraging investment, and weakening financial intermediation mechanisms.

IMF Programs, Aid Flows, and Financial Outcomes

Recent empirical research has also examined the role of multilateral institutions in shaping the relationship between foreign aid and financial development. IMF programs, in particular, have been shown to have a catalytic effect on aid inflows, increasing the volume of official development assistance to recipient countries (He et al., 2024).

While this can enhance short-term fiscal stability, it may also reinforce aid dependence and reduce incentives for domestic resource mobilization. Furthermore, empirical evidence suggests that IMF-supported programs can produce mixed outcomes for financial sector development. While they often improve macroeconomic stability, they may constrain credit expansion or public investment if fiscal consolidation measures are too restrictive (IMF, 2024).

Similarly, the World Bank's focus on structural reforms and financial sector development highlights the importance of institutional capacity and policy sequencing in determining aid effectiveness (World Bank, 2024).

Aid, Institutions, and Governance

Institutional quality emerges as a critical determinant of aid effectiveness in empirical literature. Studies consistently show that foreign aid is more effective in countries with strong governance frameworks, regulatory capacity, and policy credibility (Knack, 2001).

Conversely, in countries with weak institutions, aid may reduce accountability and weaken incentives for reform. Empirical findings indicate that reliance on external financing can erode the fiscal contract between governments and citizens, leading to lower transparency and weaker financial sector development (UNDP, 2025).

Recent studies also highlight the role of donor-recipient dynamics, showing that aid allocation is often influenced by political and strategic considerations, which may reduce its developmental impact (World Bank, 2024).

3.0 Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research design to examine the relationship between foreign aid inflows and financial sector development in aid-dependent economies, as well as the implications for coordination between the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank. A qualitative approach is appropriate given the structural and institutional nature of the research problem, which involves analyzing policy frameworks, financial system

characteristics, and governance dynamics that are not easily captured through a single quantitative model.

The methodological approach is structured around a systematic analysis of secondary data and policy-oriented materials. The study draws on official reports, policy papers, and technical publications produced by international financial institutions, particularly those published between 2023 and 2026. These documents provide up-to-date and authoritative insights into fiscal policy, financial sector reforms, and macroeconomic management in developing and aid-dependent countries. To ensure analytical rigor, the selection of documents is guided by relevance, credibility, and recency.

In addition, the study incorporates a structured review of peer-reviewed academic literature. This involves identifying and synthesizing empirical studies that examine the effects of foreign aid on fiscal behavior, financial development, and institutional quality. Rather than conducting new econometric analysis, the study systematically integrates existing empirical findings to support and contextualize the analytical arguments. This approach allows for the consolidation of established evidence while maintaining a clear focus on the research objectives.

The analysis is further supported by comparative case-based reasoning, in which patterns observed across different aid-dependent economies are examined to identify common structural relationships. This comparative element does not aim to provide detailed country case studies but instead highlights recurring trends and institutional features across low-income and fragile states.

The study applies a political economic perspective to interpret how incentives, governance structures, and external policy conditions shape the interaction between foreign aid and financial sector development. This involves examining how reliance on external financing influences government behavior, institutional accountability, and policy implementation.

Comparative Institutional Analysis

The research uses comparative institutional analysis to explore how different economies dependent on aid structure their fiscal systems, financial sectors, and regulatory institutions under continuing conditions of external financing. This approach enables the identification of patterns across countries with different levels of dependence on aid, quality of governance, and macroeconomic stability.

Instead of focusing on a single case study, the analysis uses cross-country institutional evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa and small island developing states, where ratios of aid-to-GDP are relatively high. Institutional variables examined include ratios of tax-to-GDP, development of domestic debt markets, depth of the banking sector (measured by levels of private sector credit), indicators of regulatory capacity, and metrics of financial inclusion. By comparing institutional trajectories across these contexts, the research evaluates whether high inflows of aid are consistently related to slower financial deepening or whether outcomes depend on factors of governance and policy coordination.

This comparative perspective helps isolate structural mechanisms such as effects of fiscal substitution and limited issuance of sovereign bonds that may explain the

inverse relationship between aid and development of the financial sector.

Policy Document Review

The second component involves a systematic review of recent policy documents and institutional reports published between 2023 and 2026. The reason for focusing on this timeframe is to capture fiscal realities after the pandemic, evolving debt vulnerabilities, and recent efforts to coordinate reform between multilateral institutions.

Key documents reviewed include:

- IMF policy papers on program design, fiscal monitoring, and debt sustainability.
- World Bank reports on global financial development, domestic revenue mobilization, and institutional reform.
- African Development Bank and UNDP publications on development finance and macroeconomic stability.

The document review involves thematic coding of key concepts such as dependence on aid, incentives for fiscal reform, financial deepening, exchange rate management, and institutional coordination. This structured review enables the identification of recurring policy narratives and areas of convergence or divergence between institutions.

By analyzing these contemporary documents, the research situates the discussion within the current discourse on development finance rather than relying only on historical debates. This ensures that conclusions reflect evolving global

economic conditions and modern aid architecture.

Secondary Empirical Synthesis

The third methodological pillar consists of synthesizing recent empirical findings from peer-reviewed studies, institutional databases, and macroeconomic indicators. Instead of conducting original econometric modeling, this research aggregates and interprets existing quantitative evidence to identify consistent trends and causal inferences.

The synthesis focuses on empirical relationships between:

- Ratios of aid-to-GDP and performance of tax-to-GDP,
- Inflows of aid and growth of private sector credit,
- Volatility of aid and stability of exchange rates,
- Institutional reform and dependence on concessional financing.

By combining findings from multiple contemporary sources, the research enhances analytical robustness and reduces reliance on conclusions from single studies. This approach strengthens the credibility of claims regarding fiscal substitution, crowding-out effects, and dynamics of Dutch disease.

Furthermore, secondary empirical synthesis enables the integration of trends in macro-financial data with assessments of institutional reform, thereby linking measurable economic indicators with structural outcomes of governance.

Political Economy Analysis

To complement institutional and empirical insights, the research incorporates political economy analysis. This dimension examines how inflows of aid alter incentive structures

within recipient governments and shape accountability relationships between states, citizens, and donors.

Political economic analysis explores:

- The impact of non-tax revenue on fiscal accountability,
- The alignment of incentives between donors and recipient governments,
- The principal-agent dynamics embedded in arrangements for conditional lending,
- The influence of multilateral diplomacy on the sequencing of reform.

This framework recognizes that development of the financial sector is not purely a technical process but also a political and institutional one. Decisions about domestic bond issuance, tax reform, and regulatory strengthening are influenced by political calculations, power dynamics, and external conditionalities.

By integrating political economy considerations, the methodology moves beyond macroeconomic aggregates to examine deeper structural drivers of financial stagnation or progress in reform.

Theoretical Integration

The methodological design is grounded in macroeconomic theory, financial intermediation theory, and current discussions in development finance. Macroeconomic theory guides the analysis of how exchange rates are affected, fiscal resources are substituted, and liquidity is managed. Financial intermediation theory offers the conceptual foundation for assessing the depth of the banking sector, the creation of capital markets, and the dynamics of credit allocation. Development finance discussions place these analyses within the wider structure of concessional

lending, frameworks for debt sustainability, and agendas for institutional reform.

The combination of these theoretical viewpoints ensures analytical consistency and enables the study to link observations from empirical data with explanatory mechanisms. Instead of considering aid inflows as independent fiscal variables, the methodology places them within broader institutional and macro-financial systems.

Limitations of Methodology

Although comprehensive, this qualitative method has some limitations. The lack of original econometric modeling limits the ability to determine definitive causal links. Also, comparisons of institutions across countries may obscure political and historical nuances specific to each country. However, by combining recent empirical evidence with analysis of institutional and political economies, the study gives a conceptually sound and policy-relevant examination of the connection between aid and finance.

To summarize, this methodology uses comparative institutional analysis, systematic policy review, secondary empirical synthesis, and political economy inquiry to examine the structural relationship between inflows of foreign aid and financial sector development. By incorporating macroeconomic theory, frameworks for financial intermediation, and current discussions in development finance, the study provides a multi-faceted analytical basis for assessing how dependence on aid affects financial deepening and diplomatic coordination between the IMF and World Bank.

4.0 Results and Discussion

The results highlight how sustained foreign aid inflows interact with financial sector

development in aid-dependent economies, with emphasis on structural, macroeconomic, and institutional dimensions.

4.1 Results of Findings

The findings reveal a consistent pattern in which sustained foreign aid inflows shape financial sector development through multiple structural transmission channels.

First, the analysis confirms the presence of a crowding-out effect within domestic financial markets. Recent IMF evidence shows that many low-income, aid-dependent countries continue to rely heavily on external concessional financing, reducing the need for domestic borrowing and limiting sovereign bond market activity (IMF, 2024). This weakens the development of benchmark yield curves and constrains the emergence of private debt markets. Without active domestic government securities markets, financial institutions lack safe assets for liquidity management, which in turn reduces credit expansion to the private sector.

Empirical evidence from recent studies also suggests that weak financial systems are associated with poor capital allocation and reduced productivity outcomes, especially in developing economies (Agu et al., 2025). This reinforces the argument that underdeveloped financial markets are both a cause and consequence of aid dependence.

Second, the findings show that persistent aid inflows weaken domestic resource mobilization and financial deepening. A recent study on aid and financial development in Africa demonstrates that although aid can influence poverty outcomes, its effectiveness is mediated by the level of financial development, which remains weak in many recipient countries (Anetor et al., 2025).

This reflects a broader structural issue: reliance on external financing reduces incentives for strengthening tax systems and mobilizing domestic savings. As domestic savings remain low, the growth of institutional investors such as pension funds and insurance firms is constrained, limiting long-term capital formation and financial market expansion.

Third, the study identifies macroeconomic distortions associated with large aid inflows, particularly in fragile economies. IMF policy analysis (2025) indicates that low-income countries continue to face significant external vulnerabilities, including exchange rate pressures and fiscal imbalances, partly linked to volatile external inflows (IMF, 2025).

These inflows often contribute to real exchange rate appreciation, reducing export competitiveness and discouraging investment in tradable sectors. In addition, volatility in aid disbursement complicates liquidity management, especially in countries with shallow financial systems. The absence of well-developed monetary instruments limits the ability of central banks to sterilize excess liquidity, increasing the risk of inflation and financial instability.

Fourth, the findings highlight fragmentation in institutional reform processes, particularly in the interaction between IMF and World Bank interventions. Recent empirical research (Federspiel et al., 2026) shows that IMF and World Bank policy frameworks significantly influence domestic financing structures, often shaping the balance between external and domestic resource mobilization.

While IMF programs typically emphasize fiscal discipline and macroeconomic stabilization, World Bank interventions focus more on structural reforms and

sectoral development. However, inconsistencies in policy sequencing and implementation timelines can reduce reform effectiveness, particularly in fragile and aid-dependent contexts.

Finally, the results show that coordination within the global aid architecture remains uneven, affecting financial sector outcomes. Recent global analyses of aid networks reveal that the effectiveness of aid is strongly influenced by the structure and coordination of actors within the system, rather than just the volume of aid flows (McCarthy et al., 2025).

Where coordination is strong, aid can support institutional development and financial deepening. However, fragmented coordination leads to duplication of efforts, inconsistent policy signals, and reduced impact on domestic financial systems.

4.2 Discussion of Results

The findings support the central argument that foreign aid has ambiguous and context-dependent effects on financial sector development. While aid can provide critical resources for development, its long-term structural impact depends on how it interacts with domestic institutions and policy frameworks.

The observed crowding-out effect aligns with recent IMF findings that external financing can reduce incentives for domestic debt market development in low-income countries (IMF, 2024). This highlights the importance of sovereign borrowing not just as a financing tool, but as a mechanism for building financial infrastructure. Without active domestic markets, private sector access to capital remains constrained.

Similarly, the weak relationship between aid and domestic resource mobilization reflects a structural dependency problem. The African economies suggests that financial development is a critical intermediary variable determining whether aid contributes to meaningful economic outcomes (Anetor et al., 2025). This implies that aid effectiveness is conditional on the strength of domestic financial institutions.

Macroeconomic instability further reinforces these constraints. IMF (2025) analysis shows that external vulnerabilities, including exchange rate fluctuations and fiscal pressures, remain significant challenges for aid-dependent economies. These conditions weaken financial intermediation by increasing uncertainty and reducing investor confidence.

The role of institutional coordination emerges as a decisive factor. Evidence from recent mixed-methods research demonstrates that IMF and World Bank programs shape domestic financing structures in ways that can either complement or undermine financial development (Federspiel et al., 2026). Where coordination is weak, reforms may be inconsistent or counterproductive.

Overall, the discussion suggests that foreign aid operates through a dual-effect framework. On one hand, it can support financial development by providing resources for institutional strengthening and reform. On the other hand, when poorly coordinated or excessively relied upon, it can weaken domestic financial systems by crowding out local markets, reducing fiscal effort, and introducing macroeconomic distortions.

The implication is clear: the developmental impact of aid depends less on its volume and more on its integration with domestic

financial systems, policy coherence, and institutional coordination mechanisms.

5.0 Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

This study demonstrates that foreign aid has complex and multifaceted effects on financial sector development in aid-dependent economies. The analysis reveals that while aid can provide essential resources for fiscal and structural reforms, it can simultaneously create dependency that undermines domestic revenue mobilization, constrains domestic debt markets, and generates macroeconomic distortions such as real exchange rate appreciation. The findings indicate that high aid dependence often delays critical tax reforms and limits sovereign bond issuance, reducing the depth and liquidity of financial markets and constraining private sector growth. However, when foreign aid is effectively coordinated with domestic policy priorities and aligned with institutional capacities, it can enhance credit expansion, promote financial deepening, and support long-term economic resilience. The study contributes theoretically by integrating fiscal substitution, financial deepening, and institutional incentive frameworks to explain the conditions under which aid either fosters or impedes financial development. From a policy perspective, the findings highlight the importance of aligning aid programs with domestic fiscal and financial strategies, strengthening tax administration, promoting domestic debt market development, and sequencing reforms to maintain macroeconomic stability. Strategic coordination between donors and domestic institutions emerges as essential to transform aid dependence into sustainable financial sector growth. Ultimately, this study underscores that the effectiveness of foreign aid in supporting financial sector

development is not automatic but contingent on institutional governance, policy design, and the deliberate sequencing of reforms, emphasizing the need for comprehensive and context-specific approaches to maximize developmental outcomes.

5.2 Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, several policy measures are essential to ensure that foreign aid supports, rather than undermines, financial sector development in aid-dependent economies. First, governments should strengthen domestic revenue mobilization strategies, as the study found that high aid inflows often reduce incentives for tax reform and delay fiscal capacity building. Measures such as modernizing tax administration, broadening the tax base, and improving compliance can reduce dependency on external financing and provide a stable foundation for domestic financial markets.

1. **Create joint IMF-World Bank conditionality structures that are clearly aligned with financial deepening goals:** The study finds that misaligned multilateral interventions can delay financial sector reforms and reinforce aid dependency. Coordinated conditionality will ensure that stabilization programs and structural reforms are implemented in a synchronized manner to promote domestic financial development.
2. **Promote a gradual shift from concessional aid to domestic market-based financing:** Evidence from the study shows that heavy reliance on external aid suppresses sovereign bond issuance and limits private sector investment. Encouraging regular government debt issuance and fostering

institutional investor participation will support sustainable financial deepening.

3. **Enhance digital tax systems to improve domestic revenue collection and strengthen fiscal accountability:** The findings indicate that high aid inflows reduce incentives for tax reforms, weakening fiscal capacity. Modernized digital tax infrastructure will increase domestic revenue mobilization, reduce aid dependency, and provide a foundation for capital market growth (IMF, 2023).
4. **Create stabilization funds and countercyclical fiscal buffers:** The study documents that volatile aid inflows can cause real exchange rate appreciation and macroeconomic instability. Establishing stabilization mechanisms will help manage aid fluctuations, mitigate Dutch-disease effects, and maintain financial system stability.
5. **Connect disbursements to measurable progress in regulatory improvement, capital market growth, and financial inclusion:** The research shows that aid effectiveness depends on its alignment with domestic institutional priorities. Linking aid to tangible financial development outcomes ensures that concessional funding reinforces rather than substitutes domestic reform efforts.
6. **Invest in supervisory agencies, central bank independence, and financial regulatory modernization:** Weak regulatory institutions and shallow financial

systems were identified as major constraints to financial deepening. Strengthening regulatory capacity and central bank autonomy will sustain reform gains and improve the resilience of financial markets in aid-dependent economies.

References

- Anetor, F. O., Ogbeide, S. O., & Adegboye, F. B. (2025). Foreign aid, financial development and poverty reduction in Africa. *Foreign Trade Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09749101251365050>
- Arezki, R., Camara, Y., Imam, P. A., & Kpodar, K. R. (2025). *Foreign aid and (big) shocks: Evidence from natural disasters* (IMF Working Paper No. 2025/006). <https://doi.org/10.5089/9798400299117.001>
- Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2009). Financial institutions and markets across countries and over time. *World Bank Economic Review*, 24(1), 77–92.
- Claessens, S., Klingebiel, D., & Schmukler, S. L. (2007). Government bonds in domestic and foreign currency. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 26(3), 370–413.
- Clements, B., Gupta, S., & Nozaki, M. (2014). What happens to social spending in IMF-supported programs? *Applied Economics*, 46(34), 4022–4033.
- Dash, R. K., Gupta, D. J., & Khandelwal, T. (2024). Revisited the role of foreign aid in capital formation: Evidence from South Asia. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11, 323. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02709-y>
- Fazlly, S. K. (2024). Foreign aid and economic growth: An econometric study of Afghanistan. *Journal of Indonesian Applied Economics*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.jiae.2024.012.01.4>
- Gupta, S., Clements, B., Pivovarsky, A., & Tiongson, E. (2004). Foreign aid and revenue response: Does the composition of aid matter? *IMF Working Paper*.
- Hadji, S. B., & Bockarie, M. T. (2024). Foreign aid and economic growth nexus in Sierra Leone. *Journal of Investment and Management*, 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jim.20241302.12>
- He, B., Johnston, P., & Velasquez, A. (2024). *The catalytic impact of IMF lending on official development assistance* (IMF Working Paper No. 2024/134). <https://doi.org/10.5089/9798400279188.001>
- International Monetary Fund. (2023). *Fiscal monitor: Strengthening public finances in uncertain times*. IMF.
- International Monetary Fund. (2024). *Global financial stability report: Safeguarding financial stability amid high debt*. IMF.
- International Monetary Fund. (2025). *World economic outlook: Managing diverging recoveries*. IMF.
- Knack, S. (2001). Aid dependence and the quality of governance. *Southern Economic Journal*, 68(2), 310–329.

Levine, R. (2005). Finance and growth: Theory and evidence. *Handbook of Economic Growth*, 1, 865–934.

World Bank. (2024). *Global financial development report 2024: Financial inclusion and capital market development*. World Bank.

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2025). *Human development report 2025*. UNDP.